UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,461	04/27/2006	Siebo Kunstreich	289158US6PCT	5038	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			LIN, KUANG Y		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/577,46	1	KUNSTREICH, SIEBO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kuang Y. I		1793				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no event. Briod will apply and witatute, cause the apple.	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$)7 August 2009						
•		This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo			secution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 11-19 is/are pending in the application	ation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	equirement.					
	on Papers							
	· The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner						
•	-		nted or b)□ objected t	to by the Examin	er			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2009</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
(۵	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
255 and diagonous dominar divisor for a not or the dominar copied not received.								
A44- 1	W-3							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summer:	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/577,461 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

1. Applicant is advised that the replacement sheet of drawing for figures 6 and 9 is accepted by the Examiner.

2. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, lines 1-2, it recites a method of electromagnetic stirring in a secondary cooling zone. However, in lines 11-13, it recites causing liquid metal exchange within the liquid metal between the secondary cooling zone and the mold by utilizing traveling magnetic fields generated by multiphase inductors placed in front of the broad faces of the mold. Thus, the statements are not consistent to each other. Further, in claim 16, it recites the collinearly moving magnetic fields that travel transversely over a width of the mold to ether come closer together from an edge towards a center of the mold or to move further apart from the center of the mold towards the edge of the mold. However, the specification does not show the claimed feature of collinearly moving magnetic fields that travel transversely over a width of the mold to ether come closer together from an edge towards a center of the mold. The specification shows only the claimed feature of collinearly moving magnetic fields that travel transversely over a width of the mold to move further apart from the center of the mold towards the edge of the mold which is shown in figure 8. In claim 19, the claimed feature of using other traveling magnetic fields that act directly in the mold does not disclosed in the specification. The specification

Application/Control Number: 10/577,461 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

discloses only one set of traveling magnetic fields that act directly in the mold as shown in figure 8 and does not disclose the use of other traveling magnetic fields.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11, 14, 17, and 19 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,307,863 to Kubota et al.

Kubota et al. show a method for continuous casting of a slab comprises feeding molten steel into a mold through exit ports of an immersion nozzle and controlling a stream of the molten steel by means of an electromagnetic stirrer having a linearly shifting magnetic field. The electromagnetic stirring field causes circulation of liquid metal as a single four-leaf clover configuration (see example in col. 9, and figure 5). Applicant is advised that the invention as claimed reads on the process of Kubota et al.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 11-19 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,158,380 to Sasaki et al. and further in view of US 4,649,985 to Mizota et al.

Sasaki et al. substantially show (see figure 9) the invention as claimed except that they do not further provide electromagnetic stirring means in front of the broad faces of the mold. However, Mizota et al. show to provide electromagnetic stirring means in front of the broad faces of the mold to refine the grain structure near the surface region of the strand. It would have been obvious to further provide the electromagnetic stirrer of Mizota et al. (which generates collinear moving magnetic fields and thus collinear streams, see figures 7 and 8) in front of the broad faces of the mold such that to further refine the microstructure near the surface region of the strand. With respect to claim 18, it would have been obvious to manipulate the current intensity such that to obtain an optimal result through a routine experimentation.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/577,461 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica L. Ward can be reached on 571-272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kuang Y. Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793